

On the Mean Curvatures Sharp Estimates of Hypersurfaces

Alain R. Veeravalli

Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Evry-Val d'Essonne,
Boulevard des Coquibus, F-91025 Evry Cedex, France

Abstract

Sharp estimates for the mean curvatures of hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds are known from the works of Jorge-Xavier [3], Markvorsen [6] and Vlachos [11]. We first give a simplified proof of these estimates. This proof shows that a similar original result holds for hypersurfaces in Einstein manifolds which are warped product of \mathbb{R} by Ricci-flat manifolds.

1 Introduction and notations

For $n \geq 1$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $(\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(c), g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional simply connected space form of constant curvature c , d its Riemannian distance, $\bar{\nabla}$ its Levi-Civita connection and $\bar{\nabla}^2$ its Hessian operator. If N is a closed (compact without boundary) connected hypersurface of \mathbb{M} , we endow N with the induced metric, also denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The induced connection and Hessian are denoted by ∇ and ∇^2 respectively. By the generalized Jordan theorem, N is orientable and divides \mathbb{M} into two connected components, one of which (the *interior*) is relatively compact and has N as its oriented boundary. Let η the smooth unit *inner* normal vector field of N , h its second fundamental form and A its shape operator. We recall that the mean curvatures of N are the functions $(H_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ defined by $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + X k_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma_i X^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} H_i X^i$ where $(k_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are the principal curvatures of N , σ_i the i^{th} -elementary symmetric polynomial in the k_i 's and $\binom{n}{i}$ the binomial coefficient. The notation $\|H_i\|$ will mean the uniform norm of H_i on N . We also introduce the *radius* of N in \mathbb{M} , defined by $\text{rad } N := \min_{p \in \mathbb{M}} \max_{q \in N} d(p, q)$ and which is the radius of the smallest closed ball(s) in (\mathbb{M}, d) containing N . We recall that a geodesic sphere of radius r (with $r < \pi/\sqrt{c}$ if $c > 0$) in \mathbb{M} is a totally umbilical hypersurface: more precisely, if sn_c is the solution of the differential equation $\ddot{y}(t) + cy(t) = 0$ with the initial conditions $(y(0), \dot{y}(0)) = (0, 1)$ and $\cot_c = \text{sn}_c / \text{sn}'_c$ its logarithmic derivative, its principal curvatures are all equal to $-\cot_c(r)$.

Thanks to the works of Jorge-Xavier, Markvorsen and Vlachos, we have the following estimates for the mean curvatures of N and this leads to a characterization of geodesic spheres:

Theorem 1 [3, 6, 11]. *Let N be a closed connected hypersurface of \mathbb{M} . We assume that $\text{rad } N < \pi/(2\sqrt{c})$ if c is positive. Then*

i) *For any integer $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\|H_k\| \geq \cot_c^k(\text{rad } N)$.*

In other words, we obtain a sharp lower bound for the radius of N :

$$\text{rad } N \geq \cot_c^{-1} \left(\min_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|H_k\|^{1/k} \right).$$

ii) *If there exists an integer $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $\|H_k\| = \cot_c^k(\text{rad } N)$, then N is a geodesic sphere.*

We will present here a simplified proof of this result. The key point is to choose an appropriate function and to consider the Newton (1,1)-tensors introduced by Reilly. The papers quoted above did not show the necessity of the assumption “ $\text{rad } M < \pi/(2\sqrt{c})$ ” when c is positive: we fill this gap by producing a counter-example at the end of section 2. Moreover, our approach allows the discovering of a new similar result (section 3, theorem 3).

2 A short proof of theorem 1

Let h_c be the primitive of sn_c which vanishes at 0. For a fixed point p of \mathbb{M} , we introduce the smooth *modified distance function* $h_c \circ d_p$ on \mathbb{M} which Hessian is proportional to the metric:

Proposition [9]. - *The function $h_c \circ d_p$ satisfies: $\bar{\nabla}^2(h_c \circ d_p) = (\text{sn}_c \circ d_p) \cdot g$.*

In the sequel, let p be a point of \mathbb{M} for which N is included in the closed ball $B_d(p, \text{rad } N)$. If we set $F = h_c \circ d_p$, let $f = F|_N$ be the restriction of F to N and q_0 a point of N where f achieves its maximum. By the Hopf principle, we have $\langle \nabla f(q_0), X \rangle = 0$ and $\nabla^2 f(q_0)(X, X) \leq 0$ for any $X \in T_{q_0}N$. But

$$\langle \nabla f(q_0), X \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla} F(q_0), X \rangle = \text{sn}_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot \langle \dot{\gamma}(d_p(q_0)), X \rangle$$

where $\gamma : [0, d_p(q_0)] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is the unique unit-speed geodesic in \mathbb{M} joining p to q_0 . This shows that $\dot{\gamma}(d_p(q_0)) \in (T_{q_0}N)^\perp$. On the other side, by the above proposition

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 f(q_0)(X, X) &= \bar{\nabla}^2 F(q_0)(X, X) + \langle \bar{\nabla} F(q_0), h_{q_0}(X, X) \rangle \\ &= \text{sn}_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot |X|^2 + \langle \bar{\nabla} F(q_0), h_{q_0}(X, X) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\nabla^2 f(q_0)(X, X) \geq \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot |X|^2 - \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot \langle A_{q_0} X, X \rangle \quad (1)$$

This shows that the principal curvatures $(k_i(q_0))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of N at q_0 are all greater than or equal to $\cot_c(d_p(q_0))$. Since $d_p(q_0) \leq \operatorname{rad} N$, as \cot_c is a decreasing function and as $\cot_c(\operatorname{rad} N)$ is positive (we use here the assumption on the radius if c is positive), we have $\|H_k\| \geq \cot_c^k(\operatorname{rad} N)$ and this shows the first point of the theorem.

If there is equality, i.e. if $\|H_k\| = \cot_c^k(\operatorname{rad} N)$, then $d_p(q_0) = \operatorname{rad} N$ and all the principal curvatures of N at q_0 are equal to $\cot_c(\operatorname{rad} N)$ which is positive. Let \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of q_0 in N such that the principal curvatures are all positive on \mathcal{U} . On \mathcal{U} , we will use the classical inequalities [2]:

$$H_1 \geq H_2^{1/2} \geq \dots \geq H_{k-1}^{1/(k-1)} \geq H_k^{1/k} \geq \dots \geq H_n^{1/n}$$

In [7], Reilly introduced a family $(T_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ of $(1,1)$ -tensors on N defined by the formulae: $T_0 = Id$ (identity map) and $T_{k+1} = \sigma_{k+1} Id - AT_k$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ which satisfy the following formula :

$$\operatorname{Div}(T_k \nabla f) = (n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k} \cdot \{(\operatorname{sn}_c \circ d_p) \cdot H_k + \langle \bar{\nabla} F, \eta \rangle \cdot H_{k+1}\} \quad (2)$$

where Div is the divergence operator on N . As \cot_c is a decreasing function, then for any point q of \mathcal{U} , we have by equation (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Div}(T_{k-1} \nabla f)(q)}{(n-k+1) \cdot \binom{n}{k-1}} &= \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot H_{k-1}(q) + \langle \bar{\nabla} F(q), \eta(q) \rangle \cdot H_k(q) \\ &\geq \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot H_{k-1}(q) - \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot H_k(q) \\ &= \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot \{\cot_c(d_p(q)) \cdot H_{k-1}(q) - H_k(q)\} \\ &\geq \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot \{\cot_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot H_{k-1}(q) - H_k(q)\} \\ &= \operatorname{sn}_c(d_p(q)) \cdot \{\|H_k\|^{1/k} \cdot H_{k-1}(q) - H_k(q)\} \end{aligned}$$

Now $H_k(q) = H_k^{1/k}(q) \cdot H_k^{(k-1)/k}(q) \leq \|H_k\|^{1/k} \cdot H_{k-1}(q)$. This shows that $\operatorname{Div}(T_{k-1} \nabla f)$ is nonnegative on \mathcal{U} . As $(\cdot \mapsto \operatorname{Div}(T_{k-1} \nabla \cdot))$ is an elliptic operator on smooth functions on \mathcal{U} ([4]), the function f is therefore constant on \mathcal{U} by the maximum principle for these operators. Hence, the non-empty closed subset $\{q \in N / f(q) = f(q_0)\}$ of N is also open. The connectedness of N implies that N is included in the geodesic sphere $F_p^{-1}(F_p(q_0))$. As this geodesic sphere is also connected and n -dimensional, N coincides with this geodesic sphere. \square

Remark 1. For $k = 1$, theorem 1 can be stated if one replaces $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(c)$ by a manifold M with sectional curvature bounded from above by c : this has been done by Markvorsen [6], Jorge-Xavier [3] and can be derived easily from the above equations: indeed, for a hypersurface in an arbitrary manifold, (2) is still true for $k = 0$. On the other hand, we have the following comparison result:

Lemma ([8], p 153). - Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded from above by a constant c ($c \in \mathbb{R}$), d the distance of M and p a point of M . Then if q_0 is not a cut point of p , the function d_p is smooth at q_0 and for any vector $X \in T_{q_0}M$ which is normal at q_0 to the unique unit-speed geodesic joining p to q_0 , we have

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 d_p(q_0)(X, X) \geq \cot_c(d_p(q_0)) \cdot |X|^2.$$

which makes true inequation (1). The end of proof is similar.

Remark 2: a counter-example without the radius assumption. Let n be an integer ≥ 2 , c a positive number, j and k two integers ≥ 1 with $j + k = n$ and s a number of $]0, \pi/2[$. We will write $\mathbb{R}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R}^{j+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and any point x of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} will be decomposed as $x = (y, z)$ where $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{j+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. In [10], the author proves that $N := \mathbb{S}^j(\cos(s)/\sqrt{c}) \times \mathbb{S}^k(\sin(s)/\sqrt{c})$ is a compact connected hypersurface of $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(c) = \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1/\sqrt{c}) = \{x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{j+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} / |y|^2 + |z|^2 = 1/c\}$ which principal curvatures at any point are $(-\sqrt{c} \tan s)$ et $\sqrt{c} \cot s$ with multiplicities j and k respectively (for $c=j=k=1$ and $s = \pi/4$, one recognizes the Clifford torus in \mathbb{S}^3).

Moreover, We claim that

$$\text{rad } N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{3\pi}{4} - \left| s - \frac{\pi}{4} \right| \right\}.$$

which proof is a straightforward calculation: let $p = (y_p, z_p)$ and $q = (y, z)$ be arbitrary points of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1/\sqrt{c})$ and N respectively. In $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1/\sqrt{c})$, the distance between p and q is $d(p, q) = (1/\sqrt{c}) \cdot \cos^{-1}(c\langle p, q \rangle)$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one obtains $c\langle p, q \rangle = c(\langle y_p, y \rangle + \langle z_p, z \rangle) \geq -c(|y_p| \cdot |y| + |z_p| \cdot |z|) = -\sqrt{c}(|y_p| \cdot \cos s + |z_p| \cdot \sin s)$. So $\max_{q \in N} d(p, q) \leq (1/\sqrt{c}) \cdot \cos^{-1}\{-\sqrt{c}(|y_p| \cdot \cos s + |z_p| \cdot \sin s)\}$. Moreover, this inequality is sharp (indeed, if y_p and z_p are both non zero, take $(y, z) = (-y_p/|y_p|) \cos s, -(z_p/|z_p|) \sin s$ and if $y_p = 0$, then z_p is nonzero necessarily and take $z = -(z_p/|z_p|) \sin s$ and any point of $\mathbb{S}^j(\cos(s)/\sqrt{c})$ for y). Using the relation $\cos^{-1} a + \cos^{-1}(-a) = \pi$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rad } N &= \min_{p \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1/\sqrt{c})} \{ \pi/\sqrt{c} - \cos^{-1} \{ \sqrt{c} \cdot (|y_p| \cdot \cos s + |z_p| \cdot \sin s) \} \} \\ &= \pi/\sqrt{c} - (1/\sqrt{c}) \cos^{-1} \{ \min_{p \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1/\sqrt{c})} \{ \sqrt{c} \cdot (|y_p| \cdot \cos s + |z_p| \cdot \sin s) \} \} \\ &= \pi/\sqrt{c} - (1/\sqrt{c}) \cos^{-1} \{ \min_{0 \leq |y_p| \leq (1/\sqrt{c})} \{ \sqrt{c} \cdot (|y_p| \cdot \cos s + \sqrt{1/c - |y_p|^2} \cdot \sin s) \} \} \\ &= \pi/\sqrt{c} - (1/\sqrt{c}) \cos^{-1} \min \{ \cos s, \sin s \} \\ &= \pi/\sqrt{c} - (1/\sqrt{c}) \max \{ s, \pi/2 - s \} \\ &= (1/\sqrt{c}) \cdot \{ 3\pi/4 - |s - \pi/4| \}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the particular case where $s = \pi/4$ and $j = k = n/2$, the radius of N is $3\pi/(4\sqrt{c})$ and $\cot_c(\text{rad } N) = -\sqrt{c}$. Since the mean curvatures of N satisfy the relation $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \cdot H_i \cdot X^i =$

$(1 - X\sqrt{c})^j \cdot (1 + X\sqrt{c})^j = (1 - cX^2)^j = \sum_{\ell=0}^j (-c)^\ell \binom{j}{\ell} \cdot X^{2\ell}$, the mean curvatures of odd order all vanish and

$$\text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, j, \quad \|H_{2\ell}\| = \frac{\binom{j}{\ell}}{\binom{2j}{2\ell}} \cdot c^\ell \begin{cases} = \cot_c^{2\ell}(\text{rad } N) & \text{if } \ell = j \\ < \cot_c^{2\ell}(\text{rad } N) & \text{if } \ell < j \end{cases}.$$

As N is not a geodesic sphere (not even homeomorphic), this shows that the radius assumption cannot be omitted in theorem 1.

3 A New result

The Hessian of D is proportional to the metric g of $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(c)$. This remark has simplified a lot the calculation of $\nabla^2 f$ in section 2. We are naturally led to ask for natural questions:

Question 1. “Which are the complete Riemannian manifolds $(M, g, \bar{\nabla})$ admitting a smooth function F which Hessian satisfy $\bar{\nabla}^2 F = \lambda g$ for some function λ ?

Question 2. “Among them, which ones admit totally umbilical hypersurfaces ?”

Other manifolds than space forms satisfying this both questions exist:

Example. Consider a n -dimensional complete Ricci-flat manifold (N_*, g_*) and consider the Riemannian manifold $M = \mathbb{R} \times_{e^{2ct}} N_*$ with the warped product metric $g = dt^2 + e^{2ct} \cdot g_*$ where c is a constant. The function $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (t, x) \mapsto e^{ct}$ satisfies $\bar{\nabla}^2 F = c^2 F \cdot g$ and the levels $(\{t\} \times N_*)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of F are totally umbilical hypersurfaces of M with principal curvatures all equal to $-c$. We also remark that the Ricci formulae for warped products, which may be found in Besse book [1], show that $M = \mathbb{R} \times_{e^{2ct}} N_*$ is an Einstein manifold with (constant) scalar curvature $-n(n+1)c^2$.

Fortunately, question 1 has been studied since 1925 ([5]) and solved: the manifold has to be conformally diffeomorphic to either a space form either the Riemannian product $I \times N_*$ of an open interval I of \mathbb{R} by an arbitrary n -dimensional complete manifold. If one considers only Einstein manifolds, the second question is also settled:

Theorem 2 [5]. *Let (M, g) be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete connected Einstein manifold admitting a smooth function F which Hessian satisfies $\bar{\nabla}^2 F = \lambda g$ where λ is non-identically zero. Then M is isometric to a space form or the above example.*

Moreover, if c is the Einstein constant (i.e. the constant for which the Ricci curvature $\bar{\text{Ric}}$ of (M, g) satisfies $\bar{\text{Ric}} = ncg$), there exists constants s and t such that $\lambda = -cF + s$ and $|\bar{\nabla} F|^2 = -cF^2 + 2sF + t$. In particular, λ and $|\bar{\nabla} F|$ are constant on the level sets of F . At last, the non empty level sets of F above regular values are totally umbilical hypersurfaces of M , with principal curvatures all equal to $-\lambda/|\bar{\nabla} F|$.

So we are naturally led to expect a similar result to theorem 1 with hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R} \times_{e^{2ct}} N_*$. This is done below:

Theorem 3 . *Let N be a closed connected hypersurface of $M = \mathbb{R} \times_{e^{2ct}} N_*$ with the warped product metric $g = dt^2 + e^{2ct} \cdot g_*$, (N_*, g_*) being an n -dimensional compact connected Ricci-flat manifold ($c > 0$). Then*

- i) *For any integer $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\|H_k\| \geq c^k$.*
- ii) *If $\|H_1\| = c$ or $\|H_2\| = c^2$, then $N = \{t\} \times N_*$ for some real t and is a totally umbilical hypersurface of M with principal curvatures all equal to $-c$.*

We refer again the reader to [1] for numerous examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds. The proof of the above result is quite similar and only sketched: we apply the Hopf principle to the function $f = F|_N$ and obtain

$$\nabla^2 f(q_0)(X, X) \geq c^2 F(q_0) \cdot |X|^2 - c F(q_0) \cdot \langle A_{q_0} X, X \rangle$$

which shows the first part of theorem 3. To study the equality case, we claim that formula (1) is still true for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$: indeed, it is a straightforward calculation for $k = 0$. For $k = 1$, an examination of Reilly's proof shows that

$$\text{Div}(T_1 \nabla f) = n(n-1) \{ \langle \text{sin}_c \circ d_p \rangle \cdot H_1 + \langle \bar{\nabla} F, \eta \rangle \cdot H_2 \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla f, (\nabla_{e_i} T_1) e_i \rangle$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a local orthonormal basis of N . In space forms, the Codazzi equation implies that T_1 is divergence-free that is $\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} T_1) e_i = 0$. It is still zero in the present case: fix a point x in N and let us choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with $\nabla_{e_i} e_j(x) = 0$ for all i and j . Denoting by \bar{R} the Riemann tensor of M , by $\bar{\text{Ric}}$ its Ricci curvature, using Codazzi equation and Bianchi identities, we have at the point x

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} T_1) e_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} (\sigma_1 Id) - (\nabla_{e_i} A) e_i) \\ &= \nabla \sigma_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} A) e_i \\ &= \nabla \sigma_1 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle (\nabla_{e_i} A) e_i, e_j \rangle e_j \\ &= \nabla \sigma_1 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e_i, (\nabla_{e_i} A) e_j \rangle e_j \\ &= \nabla \sigma_1 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e_i, (\nabla_{e_j} A) e_i + \bar{R}(e_i, e_j) \eta \rangle e_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e_i, \bar{R}(e_i, e_j) \eta \rangle e_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\text{Ric}}(e_j, \eta) e_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the case of equality, the same argument implies that $\Delta f(q) \geq ncF(q)\{\|H_1\| - H_1(q)\} \geq 0$ or $\text{Div}(T_1 \nabla f) \geq n(n-1)cF(q)\{\|H_2\|^{1/2} H_1(q) - H_2(q)\} \geq 0$ on \mathcal{U} and we conclude as above. \square

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Professors Lucas Zakaria and Lamiae V. Jabri for many helpful discussions. This paper is dedicated to them.

References

- [1] Besse, A.L.: *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1987.
- [2] Hardy, G., Littlewood, J., Polya, G.: *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [3] Jorge, L.P. de M., Xavier, F.V.: An inequality between the exterior diameter and the mean curvature of bounded immersion, *Math. Z.* **178** (1981), 77-82.
- [4] Koreevar, N., Sphere theorems via Alexandrov for constant Weingarten curvature hypersurfaces, Appendix to a Note of A. Ros, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **27**, (1988), 221-223.
- [5] Kühnel, W., Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces, 105-146. In: *Conformal Geometry*, R.S. Kulkarni and U. Pinkall, Ed., Aspects of Math. E 12, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1988.
- [6] Markvorsen, S., A sufficient condition for a compact immersion to be spherical, *Math. Z.* **183** (1983), 407-411.
- [7] Reilly, R., Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms, *J. Differential Geom.* **8** (1973), 465-477.
- [8] Sakai, T.: *Riemannian geometry*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. **149**. Providence, Rhode Island: AMS 1996.
- [9] Veeravalli, A.R., On the first Laplacian eigenvalue and the center of gravity of compact hypersurfaces, *Comment. Math. Helvet.* **76** (2001), 155-160.
- [10] Veeravalli, A.R., On convex hypersurfaces of space forms, *Expo. Math.* **17** (1999), 415-428.
- [11] Vlachos, T., A characterization for geodesic spheres in space forms, *Geometriae Dedicata* **68** (1997), 73-78.

Received: 04.02.2002